

## **Property Implications of**

Making Auckland the most Liveable City,

through high quality urban intensification

by Patrick Fontein, 5th October 2011



#### 1. Introduction

- 1. Neighbourhood Growth capacity- metric approximation of the growth potential of 109 Auckland Precincts / neighbourhoods
- 2. Identify development goals for accommodating growth over the next 10,20 and 30 years, with the target being 1 million people in total
- 3. Objective in carrying out this work:

"if an informed urbanist revisited Auckland in 2041 or 2051 after 30-40 years away, would they be proud of the quality of the built urban form that has been created in Auckland?"



#### 2. Key Residential Intensification Drivers

**1. Housing Cost:** what it costs to buy a 3 bedroom detached house in a Precinct / neighbourhood

#### 2. Amenity:

- Proximity to the coast, beaches, parks, reserves
- Topography of an area
- Urban amenity and urban built form quality
- Perceived safety and security issues

#### 3. Accessibility

- Proximity to rail stations and services.
- Proximity to bus services and likely travel times to likely destinations.
- Proximity to key roading infrastructure

#### 4. Intensification Opportunity

- 5. Resistance to Intensification
- NIMBY's, NIMFY's, NIABY's, BANANA's, CAVEmen, NIMEY's
- 6. Infrastructure Issues within Precincts



#### 3. Intensification Categories

- A: Current Greenfield subdivisions: Takanini, Silverdale, Long Bay
- B: Intensifying Town Centres: CBD Core, CBD Frame, Newmarket, Parnell
- C. Future Growth Major Town Centres: Takapuna, Albany, Manukau, New Lynn
- D. Future Growth Local Town Centres: Kingsland, Browns Bay, Orewa
- E. Slow Intensification Growth Town Centres: (low house prices, intensification not economically viable = minimal current intensification): Henderson, Avondale, Otahuhu, Mangere, Manurewa: Intervention required.
- F: Potential High Growth Neighbourhoods: Tamaki, Orakei, Bayswater
- G: Moderate Growth Neighbourhoods: Birkenhead, Mt Albert, East Subs
- H: Low Growth Neighbourhoods: Glenfield, Glendene, Pakuranga
- I: Neighbourhoods where economic intensification is difficult: Birkdale, Mt Roskill, Otara, Otahuhu, Papatoetoe, Papakura, Takanini: Intensification typologies need to be carefully considered, intervention required
- J: Recent Subdivisions: Unsworth Heights, Wattle Downs, Massey East



### 4. Affordability and Liveability Comments

- 1. Intensification will assist affordability within a neighbourhood
- 2. Intensification in a neighbourhood will improve existing property values
- 3. Releasing more Greenfield land does not necessarily improve affordability for all.
- 4. The relationship between <u>Liveability</u> and <u>Affordability</u>



# 5. Conclusions and recommendations on intensification issues

- 1. All residential intensification should be urban design led
- 2. Council needs to overhaul the planning approval process
- 3. Continue the town centre intensification approach
- 4. Major up-zoning within 400-800 metres of town centres
- 5. Major up-zoning to allow further quality intensification within neighbourhoods
- 6. Intensification zoning needs to be bold
- 7. Politicians have to show resilience and backbone to support high quality intensification, especially when faced with substantial NIMBY resistance
- 8. Auckland will need further Greenfield land, outside the MUL: the main emphasis should be on high quality, well designed development.
- 9. Key neighbourhood projects can show the way: Tamaki, Bayswater, Waikaraka, Mangere Bridge



6. Auckland Council provided

